

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Review into the effective and sustainabl	e use of statutory and non-statutory high needs block
('Element 3') funding [Delivering Better Value in SEND,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Project stage and type: Initial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case ☐ Full Business Case
☐ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☒ Service	☐ New ☐ Changing
☐ Other [please state]	
Directorate: Education and Skills	Lead Officer name: Tommy Jarvis
Service Area: Education	Lead Officer role: Senior Project Manager
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Step 1: What do we want to do?	
The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is compliant with the council's policies and supports the constrategy, the One City Ecological Emergency Strategy and	uncil's strategic objectives under the One City Climate
This assessment should be started at the beginning of the knowledge of the project, the service area that will delive changes as needed.	
It is good practice to take a team approach to completing guidance on completing this document. Please email enviand feedback.	
1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpo Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is racronyms.	• •
1	ng arrangements and create instead a new Targeted
more specific group of pupils than now: those with eme	effective and timely early intervention can continue to be
1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects explain why you are sure there will be no environmental	impact?
1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effe explain why you are sure there will be no environmental this form to environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk	impact? ects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No'
1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects explain why you are sure there will be no environmental	impact? ects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No'

The proposal replaces existing systems within Bristol City Council and will not change the environmental impacts

outlined in step 2 below.

1.3 If the proposal is part of an options appraisal, has the environmental impact of each option been assessed and included in the recommendation-making process?

				mpacts of each option	on are made clear	in the pros and
Yes	of the <u>project ma</u>		applicable	please selec	ct]	
If 'No' explain	why environme	ntal impacts h	ave not been co	nsidered as part of t	he options apprai	sal process.
Step 2: Wh	at kinds of e	nvironmen	tal impacts	might the projec	t have?	
	ing to evidence	~	•	our analysis of any in ed guidance docume		
Does the pr	oposal create	any benefit	s for the env	ironment, or have	e any adverse i	impacts?
		•	•	can be maximised. I der <u>One City Climate</u>	•	•
			•	n the following categ efit or adverse impa		
				sider what actions wether actions would i		
	~	•	•	there is a likely impa oe assigned to staff a		
GENERAL CO	MMENTS (high	light any potent	ial issues that mi	ght impact all or many	categories)	
		0 11 7 1		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
ENV1 Carbon neutral: Emissions of climate changing gases BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by						
in achieving a 2030.	support the city net zero by					
Will the prop transport, or energy in bui proposal invo purchase of §	the use of ildings? Will the olve the	Enhancing actions				

 \square 1 year or less

□ 1 – 5 years

☐ 5+ years

services? If the answer is yes | Persistence of effects:

to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact. Consider the scale and timeframe of the impact,	Adverse impacts				
particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates. Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions				
	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
FAIV /2 Facilities I was a survey					
Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits				
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce	Enhancing actions				
consumption of products	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible. Further guidance	Adverse impacts				
	Mitigating actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits				
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be	Enhancing actions				
minimised or swapped for	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years

less impactful ones, where they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse impacts				
<u>Further guidance</u>	Mitigating actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change	Benefits				
Bristol's climate is already					
changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time.	Enhancing actions				
Consider how the proposal	Persistence (of offects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding).	Adverse impacts	or effects.	1 year or less	□ 1-3 years	□ 3+ years
Consider if the proposal will					
reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events. Further guidance	Mitigating actions				
☐ No impact					
	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to air, water, or land	Benefits				
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	Enhancing actions				
pollution occurring to air,	Persistence	of effects:	\square 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years

water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts				
Further guidance	Mitigating actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or le	ss	rs 🗆 5+ years
Step 3: Action Plan					
Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person. This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.					
Enhancing / mitigating action	required			Responsible Officer	Timescale
0, 0	•			•	
Step 4: Review					
The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.					
Please seek feedback and review by emailing environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk before final submission of your decision pathway documentation ¹ .					
Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included in the 'evidence base' section of the decision pathway cover sheet.					
Summary of significant benefit Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):	icial impacts	and opport	tunities to sup	port the Climate, Ecolo	ogical and Corporate
None					
Summary of significant advers	se impacts ar	nd how the	y can be mitiga	ated:	
None	-				

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer: Giles Liddell, Environmental Performance Co-ordinator	Submitting author: Tommy Jarvis
Date: 24/01/2024	Date: 23/01/24